REMARKS

In the pending action, the Office has restricted the invention to one of two groups: Group I, claims 1-34, drawn to a motor core structure; and Group II, claims 35-41, drawn to a method of making a motor core. Applicants cancelled claims 35-41, thereby electing the claims of Group I.

In addition, the Office asserts that the application contains claims directed to twelve different species, and is requiring the Applicants to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits. Applicants' representative, Sheldon L. Wolfe, contacted Examiner Lam on February 23, 2005 to elect the species of Figs. 1, 2, 5, 6, and 10, which was not an option of the pending restriction. However, the bridge 130 shown in Fig. 2 is also shown in Fig. 10, and therefore, a species of Figs. 1, 2, 5, 6, and 10 should have been identified similar to Figs. 1, 2, 5, 6, and 11. Examiner Lam stated he would consider this election upon receiving the response and would contact Attorney Wolfe if he disagreed with the election.

Applicants assert claims 1-13, 15, 16, 19, 20, 22-24, 26-29, and 31-33 read on the species of Figs. 1, 2, 5, 6, and 10.

Applicants amended claims 20 and 21 to correct some minor typographical errors.

CONCLUSION

Entry of the Amendment and allowance of claims 1-34 are respectfully requested. The undersigned is available for telephone consultation at any time during normal business hours.

espectfully submitted,

David R. Price Reg. No. 31,557

Docket No. 010121-9917 Michael Best & Friedrich LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4108 (414) 271-6560

T:\clienta\010121\9917\A1091462.1